

# JN0-694<sup>Q&As</sup>

Enterprise Routing and Switching Support, Professional (JNCSP-ENT)

## Pass Juniper JN0-694 Exam with 100% Guarantee

Free Download Real Questions & Answers **PDF** and **VCE** file from:

<https://www.pass2lead.com/jn0-694.html>

100% Passing Guarantee  
100% Money Back Assurance

Following Questions and Answers are all new published by Juniper  
Official Exam Center

- ⚙️ **Instant Download** After Purchase
- ⚙️ **100% Money Back** Guarantee
- ⚙️ **365 Days** Free Update
- ⚙️ **800,000+** Satisfied Customers



### QUESTION 1

You have configured OSPF between two routers and the adjacency is not coming up. You confirm that the physical link between them is up and then run the commands shown in the exhibit on both routers. Which two configuration mistakes apply? (Choose two.)

```
user@R1> show ospf interface
Interface          State          Area          DR ID          BDR ID         Nbrs
fe-0/0/1.0        DR            0.0.0.1       1.1.1.1       0.0.0.0        0
Type: LAN, Address: 10.50.10.26, Mask: 255.255.255.252, MTU: 1500, Cost: 1
DR addr: 10.50.10.26, Priority: 128
Adj count: 0
Hello: 10, Dead: 40, ReXmit: 5, Not Stub
Auth type: None
Protection type: None
Topology default (ID 0) -> Cost: 0
```

```
user@R2> show ospf interface
Interface          State          Area          DR ID          BDR ID         Nbrs
fe-0/0/2.0        DR            0.0.0.2       1.1.1.2       0.0.0.0        0
Type: LAN, Address: 10.50.10.25, Mask: 255.255.255.252, MTU: 1500, Cost: 1
DR addr: 10.50.10.25, Priority: 128
Adj count: 0
Hello: 20, Dead: 80, ReXmit: 5, Not Stub
Auth type: None
Protection type: None
Topology default (ID 0) -> Cost: 1
```

- A. The hello timer is mismatched.
- B. The subnet is mismatched.
- C. The DR ID is mismatched.
- D. The area ID is mismatched.

Correct Answer: AD

### QUESTION 2

-- Exhibit -[edit routing-instances]

```
user@router# show vr1 routing-options
```

```
instance-import [ vr1 vr2 ];
```

```
[edit routing-instances]
```

```
user@router# show vr2 routing-options
```

```
instance-import [ vr1 vr2 ];  
  
[edit routing-instances]  
  
user@router# top show policy-options policy-statement vr1 term 1 {  
  
from instance vr1;  
  
then accept;  
  
}  
  
term 2 {  
  
then reject;  
  
}  
  
[edit routing-instances]
```

```
user@router# top show policy-options policy-statement vr2 term 1 {  
  
from instance vr2;  
  
then accept;  
  
}  
  
term 2 {  
  
then reject;  
  
}
```

-- Exhibit -

Click the Exhibit button.

A network engineer wants to leak routes between routing instances vr1 and vr2. No routes from vr2 are showing up in vr1.

Which change should the engineer make to accomplish this task?

- A. [edit routing-instances]user@router# delete vr1 routing-options instance-import[edit routing- instances] user@router# set vr1 routing-options instance-import (vr1 || vr2)
- B. [edit routing-instances]user@router# delete vr1 routing-options instance-import[edit routing- instances] user@router# set vr1 routing-options instance-import (vr1 andand vr2)
- C. [edit routing-instances]user@router# set vr1 routing-options auto-export
- D. [edit routing-instances]user@router# set vr1 routing-options interface-routes rib-group vr2

Correct Answer: A

**QUESTION 3**

Two neighboring routers are able to form an OSPF adjacency, but are not able to establish an IBGP neighborhood.


What are two reasons for the IBGP neighborhood problem? (Choose two.)

- A. One of the devices has a misconfigured BGP peer address.
- B. One or both of the connected interfaces are missing the family iso statement.
- C. OSPF has a lower route preference than BGP.
- D. A firewall filter on one of the interfaces is blocking TCP traffic.

Correct Answer: BC

**QUESTION 4**

-- Exhibit



-- Exhibit -Click the Exhibit button.

The exhibit shows a small switched network, some details about the MSTP configuration in the network, and the VLANs that are trunked over each link. When Switch2 reboots, users in VLAN 400 on Switch3 report that they lose connectivity to resources in VLAN 400 on Switch4.

What is the cause of this problem?

- A. There are mismatched bridge priorities.
- B. There is a mismatched MSTP configuration name.
- C. VLAN 400 is not trunked between Switch1 and Switch3.

D. VLAN 400 is trunked between Switch3 and Switch4.

Correct Answer: C

#### QUESTION 5

-- Exhibit -(MSTI 2 regional root: 16386.2c:6b:f5:3e:f8:01)

{master:0}

user@switch> show spanning-tree interface

Spanning tree interface parameters for instance 0

Interface Port ID Designated Designated Port State Role port ID bridge ID Cost

ge-0/0/6.0 128:519 128:519 16384.80711fbc 20000 BLK ALT ge-0/0/9.0 128:522 128:522

53248.2c6bf591a441 20000 FWD DESG ge-0/0/10.0 128:523 128:523 8192.80711fbe8110 20000 FWD

ROOT ge-0/0/12.0 128:525 128:525 49152.2c6bf53ef801 20000 BLK ALT

[...]

-- Exhibit -

Click the Exhibit button.

While troubleshooting an MSTP operation in your network, you see the output shown in the exhibit on one of your switches. You know that the MSTI 2 regional root bridge ID is 16386.2c:6b:f5:3e:f8:01.

Which port is attached to the root bridge of MSTI 2?

A. ge-0/0/6

B. ge-0/0/9

C. ge-0/0/10

D. ge-0/0/12

Correct Answer: D

[Latest JN0-694 Dumps](#)

[JN0-694 Study Guide](#)

[JN0-694 Exam Questions](#)